

From: [OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK](#)
To: [Tracy, Mary](#)
Subject: FW: Oppose mandatory malpractice insurance for attorneys
Date: Monday, February 24, 2020 10:05:37 AM

Is this for you?

From: mpmillen@aol.com [mailto:mpmillen@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, February 24, 2020 10:05 AM
To: OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK <SUPREME@COURTS.WA.GOV>
Subject: Oppose mandatory malpractice insurance for attorneys

Dear Clerk of the Washington State Supreme Court,

I am a sole practitioner, admitted in Alaska, Washington and California for the past 20 years.

This proposed insurance requirement is a terrible rule, not needed to protect clients, and very unfair to small firms and solo attorneys.

California requires disclosure in writing of whether an attorney has insurance or not, and this was found to be a workable middle ground after a lengthy inquiry and comment period such as the WSBA and Washington Supreme Court have already gone through.

Please oppose any mandatory insurance requirement as unfair, not needed, and will only drive up legal costs for the entire society, including poor people.

Thank you,

Mark Millen
#25249